

Peer Review Comments

Article: Berger, U and Anaki, D 2015 Data from "Demographic Influences on Disgust: Evidence from A Heterogeneous Sample.". *Journal of Open Psychology Data*, 3: e1, DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5334/jopd.ag>

Article submitted: 15 September 2014

Article accepted: 13 December 2014

Article published: 19 January 2015

Editor: Jelte M. Wicherts

Responses for Version 1

Reviewer A: Name not given

Review Completed: 17 November 2014

The following could aid researches in using and reporting on the data set:

1. a brief overview of the main findings from the original study.
2. more information about the populations who frequent the data collection sites and the time of day data was collected.
3. the full questionnaire in English and Hebrew.
4. syntax for recoded variables.
5. an explanation of what is meant by "ethnically diverse."
6. the script used to recruit participants.
7. Inclusion of the excluded cases described under Quality Control with a notation of which cases were not included in previous analyses.

Reviewer B: Kevin van Kalkeren

Review Completed: 1 October 2014

In their manuscript 'Data from paper: Demographic influences on disgust: evidence from a heterogeneous sample', researchers Uri Berger and David Anaki provide and describe the data from a study between disgust and demographic variables in an Israeli sample. With an amount of 1414 participant, their subject-pool was quite extensive in researching the links between different topics of disgust by means of the Hebrew version of the DS_R, and demographic constructs such as education and political view.

Despite this thoroughness, some minor points of criticism should be made. Firstly, in the abstract, there is a 's' missing after 'participant' on the first line.

Secondly, in the 'materials' section, it is unclear to me what 'back translated to Hebrew' means in this context. The material section could also benefit from a slightly more elaborate explanation of the scales of the Disgust Scale, to better understand the dataset. Although a quick search in literature would tell a reader what the scale is about, this paper would be more complete if this was taken into account as well. The data set itself is very clear and well-organized.

Furthermore, I would advise to revise the 'quality control'-section, to improve comprehension.

If these requirements are met, the paper itself is very publishable and will certainly add to our understanding of disgust in different cultural settings.